

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/680,543 | 10/07/2003 | Rudiger Gorny | PO-7876/LeA 36,168 | 4999 |
| 157 7: | 590 06/24/2004 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| BAYER POLYMERS LLC | | | BOYKIN, TERRESSA M | |
| PITTSBURGH, PA 15205 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1711 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/680,543 | GORNY ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Terressa M. Boykin | 1711 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ♣ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1.\(\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \sqit{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}} \endintintintiesendity}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}} | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (F10-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03;3/04. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/680,543

Art Unit: 1711

35 USC 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the specific composition product is unclear. For example, it is unclear whether a polycarbonate <u>and</u> a diphenyl carbonate make up the composition <u>or</u> is the polycarbonate made from a reaction that comprises a diphenyl carbonate, which is a common procedure in the art. Further, it is not clear when the composition is a layer, whether or not the polycarbonate is a separate and distinct layer from the diphenyl carbonate or is the composition one layer unto itself.

*The following 102 rejection is being directed to a composition wherein the polycarbonate is made from a reaction including diphenyl carbonate. However, note that such is abundantly common in the art and the art of record is merely one of many of these common reactions to produce a polycarbonate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP **6462165** see cols. 1-4, example 1, and table 2.

USP **6462165** discloses polycarbonates which are obtained by preparing a polycarbonate prepolymer through prepolymerization followed by polymerizing it through solid-phase, swollen solid-phase or thin film melt-phase transesterification, and have a remaining monomer content of smaller than 100 ppm, especially a dihydroxy compound content of smaller than 100 ppm, or have an acetone soluble content of at most 3.5 or at most 3.0% by weight, or have a terminal hydroxyl fraction of smaller than 2 or smaller than 15 mol %; and optical materials and blow molding materials

Application/Control Number: 10/680,543

Art Unit: 1711

comprising the polycarbonate. The polycarbonates contain a reduced amount of impurities such as remaining monomers, oligomers and others and have improved physical properties including impact strength, and these are useful as optical materials and blow molding materials. In the invention, one or more of the compounds mentioned above are suitably selected and used as the dicarbonate component (B). Of those, preferred is diphenyl carbonate. Note example 1 and table 2.

Note also that the reference itself notes that polycarbonates are excellent engineering plastics and are widely used in various fields. For producing them, known are a method of directly reacting an aromatic dihydroxy compound such as bisphenol A or the like with phosgene (interfacial process), and a method of transesterifying a dicarbonate such as diphenyl carbonate or the like with an aromatic dihydroxy compound such as bisphenol A or the like in a melt:or solid phase (melt-phase process, solid-phase process).the background description that

Thus the reference discloses a polycarbonate composition mold prepared from the same components as claimed by applicants. In view of the above, there appears to be no significant difference between the reference and that which is claimed by applicant(s). Any differences not specifically mentioned appear to be conventional. Consequently, the claimed invention cannot be deemed as novel and accordingly is unpatentable.

Correspondence

Please note that the <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants may be referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Terressa Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The general information number for listings of personnel is (571-272-1700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

Examiner Terressa Boykin

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711